# ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COLLABORATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING GRANTS PROGRAM

### **SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES**

EPA received 189 applications in response to its May 2003 Request for Applications. An Agency panel review was conducted in December 2003 at which time 15 applications were selected for award with funding provided from the available FY 03 appropriation. Subsequent to that review, numerous applicants who were not selected raised issues that the review process was unfairly applied and asked that their applications be reconsidered. As a result, the award of the 15 grants selected in December was delayed until the outcome of the re-review and scoring of the previously unscored applications was complete. As a result of that re-review, an additional 15 applications were selected for award from now available FY 04 funding, bringing the total number of selected projects to 30–15 to be funded from FY 03 funds and 15 to be funded from FY 04 funds. All 30 selected applications are expected to be awarded by April 2004.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

The Collaborative Problem-Solving Grants Program was established in 2003 with funds provided in a Congressional Add-On. The purpose of the Environmental Justice CPS Grant Program is for EPA to provide financial assistance to **affected community-based organizations** who wish to engage in constructive and collaborative problem-solving by utilizing tools developed by EPA and others to find viable solutions for their community's environmental and/or public health concerns.

## Funding Availability:

- Fiscal Year 2003 Congressional Add-On provided funding for the program
- Fiscal Year 2004 Congressional Add-On provided funding for the program
- Each award is a \$100,000 grant to an affected community-based organization
- Future years will be determined if funding is available.

Because the re-review resulted in 15 additional awards, no 2004 Request for Applications will be issued.

#### **ACTIVITIES:**

- May 2003 The Request for Applications was published requiring all applications to be postmarked by midnight September 30, 2003. 189 applications were received. In November, an EPA Review Panel reviewed these 189 applications and recommended 15 for awards of \$100,000 each.
- December 2003 An announcement of the 15 applications selected for award was sent out to all 189 applicants. The announcement included an explanation as to why the application was not considered for award.
- January 2004 Numerous complaints were received from disappointed applicants who believed that their application was not given due consideration and that the Review Panel used unpublished evaluation criteria to eliminate their application.
- Numerous applicants questioned the determination that they were <u>not</u> local "affected community-based organizations," and stated that they should not have been deemed "ineligible." The EPA Review Panel made a concerted effort to apply the "affected community-based organization" requirement consistently and fairly on the basis of criteria as stated in the Request for

Applications (RFA). Nonetheless, approximately 85 of the 189 applicants for CPS funding were found ineligible solely because they failed this threshold requirement. Because the description of an "affected community based organization" in the RFA was general in nature, this raised an arguable issue that the EPA Review Panel may have inadvertently used the description of an "affected community-based organization" that was narrower than the one that some applicants understood would be applied. Therefore, the Independent Review Panel was reconvened by OEJ to re-review and score all eligible applications.

- Other applicants questioned the discrepancy in the RFA between Memoranda of Agreement and Letter of Comment and felt that their application should not have been rejected if they had not included a Memorandum of Agreement. Because there had been a discrepancy in the RFA between these two terms, it was determined that any application originally rejected for this reason would be re-reviewed and scored.
- January 23, 2004 All applicants were notified in writing that EPA would re-review and score those applications that had been not been scored for these two reasons.
  - 1. That the applicants who had not included Memoranda of Agreement with their applications would be re-reviewed and scored and that applicants whose scores equaled or exceeded that of the lowest score of the 15 selected during the initial review, will be offered an award funded with FY 04 appropriations.
  - 2. That all applicants who had been found ineligible **solely** on the grounds that they were not an "affected community based organization" would be re-reviewed and scored and that applicants whose scores equaled or exceed that of the lowest score of the 15 selected during the initial review will be offered an award funded with FY 04 appropriations.
- The announcement of the award of the FY 03 CPS competition will be delayed until after the scoring process is complete, and FY 04 funds are available to award to all FY 03 CPS applicants whose applications merit an award based on their scores.
- The EPA Review Panel completed the re-review and scoring process on February 17, 2004. 15 applications scored 70 or greater and were recommended for award.
- March 10, 2004 EPA announced the entire set of the 30 projects selected for awards on March 10, 2004.